

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-20 remain pending in the present application. No claims have been amended.

### **REJECTION UNDER OBVIOUSNESS-TYPE DOUBLE PATENTING**

The Examiner has rejected all of the claims under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. The Examiner has rejected Claims 1, 2, and 4-7 as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,812,848. The Examiner has rejected Claim 3 in view of the above patent further in view of Kushner. The Examiner has rejected Claims 8-14 and 16-19 in view of the above patent further in view of Bowman. The Examiner has rejected Claims 15 and 20 in view of the above patent further in view of Bowman.

In view of the Examiner's rejection, Applicant includes an executed Terminal Disclaimer. Applicant believes the Terminal Disclaimer to remove U.S. Patent No. 6,812,848 as a reference. Accordingly, the Examiner's rejections are now believed to be moot.


Accordingly, Applicant believes Claims 1-20 to be in proper order for allowance and respectfully request the Examiner to pass the case to issue at his earliest possible convenience.

Should the Examiner have any questions regarding the present application, he should not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Sept 22, 2005

By:

  
W.R. Duke Taylor  
Reg. No. 31,306

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600

WRDT/lkj